# In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

Filed: February 28, 2022

* * * * * * * * * *	* *	*	UNPUBLISHED
BOBBY JOE LOVE,		*	No. 18-1329V
		*	Special Master Horner
Petitioner,		*	
		*	
V.		*	
		*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH		*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs
AND HUMAN SERVICES,		*	
		*	
Respondent.		*	
* * * * * * * * * * *	* *	*	

*Bruce W. Slane*, Law Office of Bruce W. Slane, P.C., White Plains, NY, for Petitioner. *Alexis B. Babcock*, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

## DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

On August 30, 2018, Bobby Joe Love ("petitioner"), filed a claim under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10-34 (2012), alleging that he suffered shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as a result of his receipt of an influenza vaccination on October 31, 2016. (ECF No. 1.) On September 28, 2021, the parties filed a stipulation, which I adopted as my decision awarding compensation on September 30, 2021. (ECF No. 63).

On December 7, 2021, petitioner filed an application for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 56) ("Fees App."). Petitioner requests total attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$28,929.48 (representing \$26,045.80 in fees and \$2,883.68 in costs). Fees App. at 1. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, petitioner states that he has not personally incurred any costs related to this litigation. *Id.* at 2. Respondent responded to the motion on December 8, 2021, indicating that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I intend to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet**. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

"Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Resp. at 2 (ECF No. 69). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

This matter is now ripe for consideration.

# I. Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). The Federal Circuit has approved the lodestar approach to determine reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the Vaccine Act. *Avera v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2008). This is a two-step process. *Id.* at 1347-48. First, a court determines an "initial estimate . . . by 'multiplying the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation times a reasonable hourly rate." *Id.* (quoting *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 888 (1984)). Second, the court may make an upward or downward departure from the initial calculation of the fee award based on specific findings. *Id.* at 1348.

It is "well within the special master's discretion" to determine the reasonableness of fees. Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 3 F.3d 1517, 1521–22 (Fed. Cir. 1993); see also Hines v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 22 Cl. Ct. 750, 753 (1991). ("[T]he reviewing court must grant the special master wide latitude in determining the reasonableness of both attorneys' fees and costs."). Applications for attorneys' fees must include contemporaneous and specific billing records that indicate the work performed and the number of hours spent on said work. See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316–18 (2008). Such applications, however, should not include hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." Saxton, 3 F.3d at 1521 (quoting Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)).

Reasonable hourly rates are determined by looking at the "prevailing market rate" in the relevant community. *See Blum*, 465 U.S. at 894-95. The "prevailing market rate" is akin to the rate "in the community for similar services by lawyers of reasonably comparable skill, experience and reputation." *Id.* at 895, n.11. Petitioners bear the burden of providing adequate evidence to prove that the requested hourly rate is reasonable. *Id*.

Special masters can reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, without providing petitioners notice and opportunity to respond. *See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (Fed. Cl. 2009). When determining the relevant fee reduction, special masters need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioners' fee application. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (Fed. Cl. 2011). Instead, they may rely on their experience with the Vaccine Program to determine the reasonable number of hours expended. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Dep't of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991), *rev'd on other grounds and aff'd in relevant part*, 988 F.2d 131 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Just as "[t]rial courts routinely use their prior experience to reduce hourly rates and the number of hours claimed in attorney fee requests . . . Vaccine program special masters are also entitled to use their prior experience in reviewing fee applications." *Saxton*, 3 F.3d at 1521.

### a. Hourly Rates

The decision in McCulloch provides a framework for consideration of appropriate ranges for attorneys' fees based upon the experience of the practicing attorney. McCulloch v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 09-293V, 2015 WL 5634323, at \*19 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015), motion for recons. denied, 2015 WL 6181910 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 21, 2015). The Court has since updated the McCulloch rates, and the Attorneys' Forum Hourly Rate Fee Schedules for 2015–2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021 can be accessed online.<sup>3</sup>

Petitioner requests the following hourly rates for the work of his counsel: for Mr. Bruce Slane: \$335.00 per hour for work performed in 2017, \$345.00 per hour for work performed in 2018, \$355.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$365.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, and \$375.00 per hour for work performed in 2021; and for Mr. Christian Martinez, \$200.00 per hour for work performed in 2018, \$215.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$225.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, and \$235.00 per hour for work performed in 2021. Fees App. Ex. 1 at 27. These rates are consistent with what Mr. Slane and Mr. Martinez have previously been awarded for their Vaccine Program work, and I find them to be reasonable for work performed in the instant case as well.

# b. Hours Expended

Attorneys' fees are awarded for the "number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation." Avera, 515 F.3d at 1348. Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." Saxton, 3 F.3d at 1521. While attorneys may be compensated for non-attorney-level work, the rate must be comparable to what would be paid for a paralegal or secretary. See O'Neill v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 08–243V, 2015 WL 2399211, at \*9 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 28, 2015). Clerical and secretarial tasks should not be billed at all, regardless of who performs them. See, e.g., McCulloch, 2015 WL 5634323, at \*26.

Upon review, the overall number of hours billed appears to be reasonable. I have reviewed the billing entries and find that they adequately describe the work done on the case and the amount of time spent on that work. I do not find any of the entries to be objectionable, nor has respondent identified any as such. Petitioner is therefore awarded final attorneys' fees of \$26,045.80.

# c. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of attorneys' costs must be reasonable. Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests a total of \$2,883.68 in attorneys' costs, comprised of acquiring medical records, postage, the Court's filing fee, and travel costs associated with attending the fact hearing. Fees App. at Ex. 2. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation of the requested costs and they appear to be reasonable in my experience. Petitioner is therefore awarded the full amount of costs sought.

#### II. Conclusion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The OSM Fee Schedules are available at: http://www.cofc.uscourts.gov/node/2914.

Based on all the above, I find that petitioner is entitled to the following award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$26,045.80
(Reduction to Fees)	-
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$26,045.80
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$2,883.68
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$2,883.68
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$28,929.48

In accordance with the Vaccine Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e) (2012), I have reviewed the billing records and costs in this case and finds that petitioner's request for fees and costs is reasonable. I find it reasonable to compensate petitioner and his counsel as follows: a lump sum in the amount of \$28,929.48, representing reimbursement for petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable to petitioner and his attorney, Mr. Bruce Slane.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>4</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Daniel T. Horner Daniel T. Horner Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).